Interfacing analytical and numerical relativity in modeling binary black hole coalescences

Alessandra Buonanno Department of Physics, University of Maryland

• Understanding gravity in the weak and strong regime

e.g., comparing with post-Newtonian theory; grasping the transition inspiral to merger to ringdown

• Detecting gravitational waves and extracting unique information e.g., building analytic templates

• Making astrophysical predictions

e.g., recoil velocity of merging black holes; how supermassive black holes formed

Modeling the long inspiral phase using PN theory

[Blanchet, Damour, Iyer, Faye, Deruelle; Wagoner, Will, Wiseman, Kidder, ...]

 \bullet In general relativity radiation-reaction effects appear at order $\sim v^5/c^5$ beyond the Newtonian force law

$$m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{Newt}} + \cdots + \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^5 \mathbf{F}_{\text{RR}}$$

• Throughout the inspiral $T_{\rm RR} \gg T_{\rm orb} \Rightarrow$ natural *adiabatic parameter*

$$\frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega^2} = \mathcal{O}\left[\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^5\right]$$

- PN expansion: formal expansion in 1/c when $c \to +\infty$
- For compact bodies, such as neutron stars and black holes,

$$\frac{v^2}{c^2} \sim \frac{Gm}{c^2 r} \sim \frac{R_S}{r} \ll 1$$

Waveforms in the adiabatic approximation

- Inspiral as an adiabatic sequence of circular orbits:
 - $h(t) \propto \ddot{Q} \propto \frac{v^2}{c^2} \cos 2\varphi \propto \left(\frac{GM \,\omega}{c^3}\right)^{2/3} \,\cos 2\varphi$
- Energy-balance equation: $\frac{dE(v)}{dt} = -F(v)$

 $E(v) \rightarrow \text{center-of-mass energy} \qquad F(v) \rightarrow \text{gravitational-wave energy flux}$

E(v) and F(v) known as a PN expansion in $v/c = (GM\omega/c^3)^{1/3}$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\omega} = -\frac{F(\omega)}{[dE(\omega)/d\omega]} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi_{\rm GW}(t) = 2\varphi(t) = 1/\pi \int \omega \, dt$$

Effective-one-body and Padé resummation

- Resum so that known test mass limit results are recovered
- Resum the PN expansion assuming that the equal-mass limit is a η-deformation of the test-mass limit
 - $\eta = m_1 m_2 / M^2$ $0 \le \eta \le 1/4$
- Padé resummation of the energy flux *F*





[AB & Damour 99]

Features of the GW signal emitted by a test-particle falling radially in a Schwarzschild black hole



... part of the energy produced in the strong-burst region is stored in the resonant cavity of the geometry, and then slowly released in ringdown modes.

[Press 71; Davis, Ruffini, Press & Price 71; Davis, Ruffini & Tionmo 72]

Full waveform as predicted by the EOB-Padé model

- The plunge (~ 1.5 GW cycles) is a smooth continuation of the inspiral phase
- The transition merger to ringdown was assumed *very short*
- One single QNM matched using $M_{\rm BH} = E_{\rm LR} = 0.976 M$, $a_{\rm BH} = J_{\rm LR}/E_{\rm LR}^2 = 0.77$



Numerical simulations of equal-mass binary: one dominant frequency



International Conference in Cosmology and Gravity

When the ringdown phase starts. Higher overtones.

[AB, Cook & Pretorius 06; see also Berti et al. 07]





The (plunge and) merger

• *Short* transition merger-ringdown

- [AB, Cook & Pretorius 06]
- Energy and angular-momentum quickly released during merger

Extremely accurate NR simulation using spectral methods

• Equal-mass non-spinning black-hole binary Caltech-Cornell collaboration



- During the first 15 GW cycles all PN models agree with NR within 0.05 rad
- Different PN models differ by the way of solving:

$$\dot{\omega} = -\frac{F(\omega)}{[dE(\omega)/d\omega]}$$

International Conference in Cosmology and Gravity

Comparison PN-adiabatic models and extremely accurate numerical simulations

- Equal-mass non-spinning black-hole binary Caltech-Cornell collaboration
- Later on the PN models accumulate a dephasing of few rads, except for one model

[see also Nasa-Goddard 07; Jena 07]



Comparing NR and EOB waveforms: *effectualness*

[AB, Cook & Pretorius 06; see also Pan, AB & NASA-Goddard 07]

• Fundamental QNM mode and two overtones included



• overlap \gtrsim 0.97 maximizing on binary parameters, time-of-arrival, initial phase

Improving EOB model using NR as guide

[AB, Pan & NASA-Goddard 07]



[Damour, Iyer, Jaranowski & Sathyaprakash 03]

- $A^{\mathrm{p4PN}}(r) = A^{\mathrm{3PN}}(r) + \frac{\lambda \eta}{r^5}, \ \lambda = 60$
- Apply Padé resummation to ensure presence of LSO and light ring
- Analytic inspiral/ringdown matching point $M \omega_{\text{match}} = 0.133 + 0.183 \eta + 0.161 \eta^2$
- QNM frequency and decay time depend only on $M_{\rm BH}/M$ and $a_f/M_{\rm BH}$

$$\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{M} = 1 + (\sqrt{8/9} - 1) \eta - 0.498 \eta^2$$
$$\frac{a_f}{M_{\rm BH}} = \sqrt{12} \eta - 2.90 \eta^2$$

NR and EOB waveforms for equal-mass binary: faithfulness

 \bullet Phase difference in GW cycles of $\sim 5\%$

[AB, Pan & NASA-Goddard 07]

• overlap $\gtrsim 0.98$ maximizing *only* on time-of-arrival and initial phase



International Conference in Cosmology and Gravity

NR and EOB waveforms for unequal-mass binary: *faithfulness*

• Phase difference in GW cycles of $\sim 8\%$

[AB, Pan & NASA-Goddard 07]

• overlap $\gtrsim 0.98$ maximizing *only* on time-of-arrival and initial phase



[talk by Ajith on frequency-domain template family for inspiral-merger-ringdown]

Comparison Regge-Wheeler-Zerilli and EOB in the test-mass limit

[Damour, Nagar & Tartaglia 06; Damour & Nagar 07]



• Several improvements: resummed higher-order amplitude corrections; deviations from quasi-circular motion; matching inspiral to ringdown on a *comb* instead of a point

What is the final black hole spin and mass?

[Berti et al. 07; Damour & Nagar 07; AB et al. 07; Pollney et al. 07; Boyle et al. 07; Sperhake et al. 07]

• $\frac{a_f}{M} = \frac{L_{\text{orb}}^{\text{ISCO}}(a_f, \eta)}{M^2} + \frac{S_1}{M^2} + \frac{S_2}{M^2} \quad \Leftarrow \text{ using Kerr spacetime! [AB, Kidder & Lehner 07]}$

• Good estimations also for precessing, spinning binaries



International Conference in Cosmology and Gravity

Anatomy of the kick (inspiral-merger-ringdown)

• Analytic predictions for the kick [Bekenstein; Fitchett & Detweiler; Kidder; Blanchet et al.]

[Damour & Gopakumar 06; Schnittman, AB & NASA-Goddard 07]

$$\mathbf{V}_{\text{kick}} \simeq \int \left[\mathbf{\hat{V}} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} (I^{22} S^{21}) + \mathbf{\hat{V}} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} (I^{22} I^{33}) + \mathbf{\hat{V}} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} (I^{33} I^{44}) \right] dt$$



Anatomy of the kick and anti-kick

[Schnittman, AB & NASA-Goddard 07]

• Magnitude of anti-kick depends on QNM-frequencies associated to dominant modes



Cumulative probability distribution for recoil velocities using EOB approach

[Schnittman & AB 07]



Conclusions

- Intriguing (anticipated) *simplicity* of (non-spinning) binary coalescence: details of merger hidden behind the curvature potential barrier.
- Consistency between PN calculations through 3PN order and numerical simulations
- Several progresses in estimating the final black-hole mass and spin
- Guided by NR simulations and by PN theory (at earlier times), notably the EOB model, we have a first example of analytical model for inspiral, merger, and ringdown to be further improved and extended to longer and accurate simulations.
- Gravitational recoil determined mainly by merger-ringdown phases.
- Improvement of analytic modeling to reduce uncertainties in Monte Carlo simulations of recoil velocity distribution.